

REMARKS

Claims 102-129 are pending, of which claims 102, 113 and 122 are in independent form.

Claims 102, 113 and 122 have been amended by way of this Submission. Support for the claim amendments may be found in the present patent application at various places. See, e.g., Paragraphs [0018] and [0049] of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0005857 that corresponds to the present patent application.

Favorable reconsideration of the present patent application as currently constituted is respectfully requested.

Regarding the Provisional Double Patenting Rejections

In the Final Office Action of October 13, 2006, claims 102-129 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 126-212 of co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 10/207,418 as well as over claims 90-109 of co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 09/782,107. Applicant appreciates the putative correspondence drawn in the instant Office Action between the pending claims and the respective sets of conflicting claims. Without acquiescing in the alleged correspondence between the claim sets, Applicant

respectfully submits that Applicant is willing to file applicable terminal disclaimers in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321 once allowable subject matter in the present patent application is identified. Accordingly, it is requested that the pending double patenting rejections be held in abeyance.

Regarding the Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §103 and Suspension of Action

All pending claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) in the Final Office Action of October 13, 2006 based on a number of combinations of applied references. It is noted that the combination of AirMobile™ Wireless Communication Client for cc:Mail User Guide Version 1.0, Communication Client Guide, 1995 (hereinafter *AirMobile Client*, or simply, *AirMobile*) and MAPI Developers Forum post "MAPI Notification" April 12, 1996 (hereinafter *Carthy*) was principally relied upon to support the rejection of the pending claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Upon careful review of the Examiner's comments in the Final Office Action as well as the remarks provided therein in reply to Applicant's request for reconsideration filed on June 28, 2006 with respect to the foregoing §103 rejections, Applicant is desirous of presenting a showing of secondary considerations in the instant

application by way of a supplemental submission. Accordingly, Applicant hereby respectfully requests a suspension of action for a period of three (3) months under 37 C.F.R. §1.103(c) needed for assembling the materials necessary for the showing.

Fee Statement

Compared to the highest number previously paid for, the number of independent claims has remained the same and the total number of claims has been remained the same. Applicant is filing herewith a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) of the instant patent application, wherein a petition for a five-month extension of time is being filed herewith to extend the time for reply to November 12, 2007, in addition to a petition for suspension of action pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.103(c). Accordingly, payment via electronic filing is being authorized in the amount of \$3170.00 (\$810.00 for the RCE fee; \$2230.00 for the 5-month extension; and \$130 for the suspension of action petition). Applicant believes no additional fees are due for the filing of this Submission. If any additional fees are due or any overpayments have been made, however, please charge or credit our deposit account (Deposit Account No. 03-1130).

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the Action and grant of suspension of action are respectfully requested and are believed to be appropriate.

Dated this 30th day of October, 2007.

Respectfully submitted:

/Shreen K. Danamraj/
Shreen K. Danamraj
Registration No. 41,696

DANAMRAJ & EMANUELSON, P.C.
Premier Place, Suite 1450
5910 North Central Expressway
Dallas, Texas 75206
Tel (214) 750-5666
Fax (214) 363-8177